

Future climate and energy policy - a Strategy for long-term EU greenhouse gas emissions reductions

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

Climate change is happening and without further global action to mitigate it, temperatures will rise within this century well beyond a 2°Celsius compared to pre-industrial times. This will have major impacts on our economies and societies. In order to prevent this, 178 global partners cooperating under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) have ratified the Paris Agreement that calls upon all countries to keep global temperature increase to well below 2°C, and to pursue efforts to limit the increase to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels. Parties to the Paris Agreement are to communicate by 2020 their long-term low greenhouse gas emission development strategies.

In March, the European Council invited the Commission to present a proposal for a strategy for long-term EU greenhouse gas emissions reductions in accordance with the Paris Agreement, taking into account the national plans. The European Parliament made a similar request.

The EU is on track to achieve its [2020 targets](#) and is currently putting in place policies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by at least 40% in 2030 and achieve high level of ambition in energy efficiency and renewable energy (the so called energy and climate framework for 2030). The policies, legislative instruments and support programmes from the European budget will put the EU on a trajectory compatible with the Paris Agreement, but further measures are needed for the time after 2030.

The EU has currently an objective in the context of necessary reductions by developed countries as a group, to reduce emissions by 80-95% by 2050 compared to 1990 levels.

Delivering the Paris Agreement will require a worldwide transition towards a global economy that will not further affect the climate in the second half of the century.

To pursue these latter objectives, the EU's long term strategy should put forward a vision for the mid-century and how the European Union can help protect the planet, defend its people and empower its economy. The EU's new long term strategy should describe economy-wide pathways with various options for decarbonisation and their implications on technology choices and socioeconomic factors.

The strategy will reflect on a long-term vision of a modern European economy working for all Europeans. Studies and stakeholder input will contribute to the formulation of this vision and help explain the choices to be made. The strategy should reflect on the essential opportunities and challenges stemming from the

long-term decarbonisation and clean energy transition of the EU:

- modernising the economy;
- improving citizens' quality of life;
- ensuring fair transition and tackling social challenges;
- reindustrialising Europe through digital, circular and low carbon innovation and clean mobility;
- promoting free, fair and sustainable global competition for markets, trade and investments; and
- maintaining the EU's global leadership position on key geostrategic and security issues.

The strategy will analyse cost-efficient scenarios towards decarbonisation in line with the Paris Agreement underpinned by holistic analysis of transition options across all key sectors of the economy. This includes a wide variety of sectors, starting with the central role of energy, buildings, transport and mobility, industrial production and the provision of services, waste, agriculture and land-use, as well as the use of natural resources. It will examine the potential and implications of the deployment of innovative technologies, sectoral integration, and of facilitating alternative choices for consumers. It will examine implications for security of supply, investments, competitiveness and socio-economic factors, such as economic growth and job creation, also considering the impacts on citizens, businesses. Regions that stand to be negatively affected by decarbonisation should be supported making this transition just and socially fair.

The visions and reflections of stakeholders involved from all sectors of the economy and society on how to reach the EU's ambition will be an important input into this process. Therefore, the European Commission is very much interested in your views on a strategy for long-term greenhouse gas emissions reductions for the European Union. Please take a moment to fill in our questionnaire. We welcome contributions from the general public, stakeholders and authorities alike. Your views will help to enrich our assessment of what the EU should do in order to meet its commitment under the Paris Agreement.

Guidance on the questionnaire

After a few introductory questions related to your general profile in section 1, the questionnaire has a number of questions in section 2.

To participate in the public consultation you are not obliged to fill in all questions. The different sections include questions on greenhouse gas reductions, the impact of consumers, the economic activity, energy, forests and land use, education and research, financing, meta trends, actors and adaptation to climate change. The final section is technical and more focussed on sectoral stakeholders (industry, transport, agriculture, land use).

Some questions are multiple choice questions. Other questions are open to which you can add if you want your comments. Please keep comments clear and concise because there is a limit on the number of characters you can enter.

If you want to express your views in more detail you can also upload a document with your views and insights.

As the results will be published on the Internet, please read the specific privacy statement attached to this consultation. It informs you about how your personal data and contribution will be dealt with. In the interest of transparency, if you are replying on behalf of an organisation, please register with the register of interest representatives if you have not already done so. Registering commits you to complying with a Code of Conduct. If you do not wish to register, your contribution will be treated and published together with those received from individuals.

General information about respondents

* In what capacity are you completing this questionnaire?

- as an individual in your personal capacity
- in your professional capacity or on behalf of an organisation

* Please give your name if replying as an individual/private person, otherwise give the name of your organisation:

Text of 3 to 100 characters will be accepted

International Association of Oil & Gas Producers

Email address:

kp@iogp.org

* For individuals, country of residence; for professionals, headquarters and main country of operations:

Other

* If other, please specify:

Text of 3 to 100 characters will be accepted

International Organisation

* Type of organisation (please select the answer option that fits best):

- Private enterprise
- Professional consultancy, law firm, self-employed consultant
- Trade, business or professional association
- Non-governmental organisation, platform or network
- Research and academia
- Social partners
- National, regional or local authority (mixed)
- Other

Please indicate the economic sector you are active in (as an individual or as an organisation)

- Agriculture, Hunting and Forestry
- Financial Intermediation
- Fishing

- Real Estate, Renting and Business Activities
- Mining and Quarrying
- Public Administration and Defence;
- Manufacturing
- Education
- Electricity, Gas and Water Supply
- Health and Social Work
- Construction
- Other Community, Social and Personal Services
- Wholesale and Retail Trade:
- Activities of Private Households as Employers
- Hotels and Restaurants
- Extraterritorial Organisations and Bodies
- Transport, Storage and Communications
- Other

* If other, please specify:

Text of 3 to 100 characters will be accepted

Energy Suppliers // Oil & Gas Producers

* If you are a civil society organisation or a public administration, please indicate your main area of focus or your area of competence:

Text of 3 to 100 characters will be accepted

Business Association - Energy

What size does your organisation have?

- Micro or small enterprise (10-49 persons employed)
- Medium-sized enterprise (50 - 249 persons employed)
- Large enterprise (250 or more persons employed)

If your organisation is registered in the Transparency Register, please give your Register ID number:

20 character(s) maximum

3954187491-70

If your organisation is not registered, you can [register now](#).

* Please indicate your preference for the publication of your response on the Commission's website:

- Under the name given: I consent to publication of all information in my contribution and I declare that none of it is subject to copyright restrictions that prevent publication
- Anonymously: I consent to publication of all information in my contribution and I declare that none of it is subject to copyright restrictions that prevent publication
- Not at all — please keep my contribution confidential (it will not be published, but will be used internally within the Commission)

(Please note that regardless the option chosen, your contribution may be subject to a request for access to documents under [Regulation 1049/2001](#) on public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents. In this case the request will be assessed against the conditions set out in the Regulation and in accordance with applicable [data protection rules](#).)

Questions

Long term greenhouse gas emissions reductions

To achieve its temperature objectives, the Paris Agreement also includes a long term ambition to achieve a balance between emissions and removals of greenhouse gases by human activities in the second half of this century. Given that addressing climate change is a global challenge requiring all parties of the Paris Agreement to act, what do you think the EU should contribute to achieve the Paris Agreement's objectives:

- Reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the EU by 80% by 2050 compared to 1990 levels
- Reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the EU more, within the range of 80 to 95% by 2050 compared to 1990 levels
- Achieve already a balance between emissions and removals in the EU by 2050

In your opinion, what are the biggest opportunities and challenges

1000 character(s) maximum

The transition to a low-carbon economy is one of the great challenges of our time. IOGP supports the aim of the Paris Agreement. We are dedicated to being part of the solution. No one company or sector alone can deliver a low carbon future. Everyone, from consumers to corporations to governments, needs to take responsibility. While determining the EU GHG emissions reductions by 2050 the following elements need to be considered: the economic growth, the feasibility of achieving the set-up ambition, efficiency and affordability.

The Strategy should also seek to consider the impact of ambition level on competitiveness of the EU industry sectors exposed to delocalisation, requiring measures to ensure competitiveness to continue (carbon leakage protection). Delivering on the Paris Agreement and on the EU GHG objective, relies on supporting transitions to a low-emission or net-zero emission future while ensuring enough energy to meet the needs of the world's growing population.

Consumers

Next to the deployment of available and forthcoming technologies, when looking at the long term, consumer choices also have a key role in achieving the decarbonisation of our economy. Please fill in this section based on your habits if you are an individual or, if you are from an organisation, considering the organisation practice.

In your opinion, where do you expect the largest changes to happen in your daily life in order to meet the climate change challenge?

- Housing
- Mobility
- Food

- Consumer goods and services

Housing and offices

Energy consumption

To which extent would you support the following options that allow reducing the energy consumption and related CO₂ emissions in buildings?

Improving further the energy performance (insulation, triple glazing, etc.) of your building?

- Yes, I already have done it
- Yes, as a priority
- Yes, but not as a priority
- No, I rent
- No, too expensive
- No, other reason
- No opinion / I do not know

Installing heating and water boilers that run on renewables?

- Yes, I already have done it
- Yes, as a priority
- Yes, but not as a priority
- No, I rent
- No, too expensive
- No, other reason
- No opinion / I do not know

Installing heating and cooling equipment and use electric appliances with the best energy performance label?

- Yes, I already have done it
- Yes, as a priority
- Yes, but not as a priority
- No, I rent
- No, too expensive
- No, other reason
- No opinion / I do not know

Buying carbon free electricity or generating your own renewable electricity?

- Yes, I already have done it
- Yes, as a priority
- Yes, but not as a priority
- No, I rent
- No, too expensive
- No, other reason
- No opinion / I do not know

Having a smart meter and consuming electricity mostly when prices are low?

- Yes, I already have done it
- Yes, as a priority
- Yes, but not as a priority
- No, for privacy concern
- No, I do not want to change my consumption habits
- No, other reason
- No opinion / I do not know

Domestic waste

Do you sort your waste (paper, plastics, glass, metal, glass, organic...)?

- Yes
- No
- I do not see the interest

What would make you increase the separation of waste (paper, plastics, glass, metal, glass, organic...)?

- Adapted infrastructure (containers, etc.)
- Awareness campaign
- Financial incentives such as deposit schemes
- Other

Do you think increased recycling and reuse are important to achieve greenhouse gas reductions?

- Yes
- No
- I do not know

Mobility

To which extent would you support the following options that allow reducing the energy consumption and related CO₂ emissions?

Buying a vehicle that does not run on petrol or diesel (for instance an electric car)?

- Yes
- Yes, but only if not more expensive than conventional petrol or diesel cars
- Yes, but only if sufficient refuelling infrastructure is available
- No

Considering using car sharing services?

- Yes
- Yes, but only if an easy to use and affordable service is in place
- No

For short trips, avoiding private car and rather using public transport?

- Yes
- Yes but only if an accessible and regular service is in place
- No, because they are too slow
- No, because it is too expensive
- No

For short trips, avoiding private car and rather using (electric) bike or other active mobility modes?

- Yes
- Yes, but only if proper bike lanes are in place
- No

For longer distance, avoiding flights or car whenever an alternative is available?

- Yes
- Yes, provided a convenient alternative is in place
- No, too slow
- No, too expensive
- No, other reason

Do you think better urban planning would reduce the use of private cars and reduce congestion in the urban areas?

- Yes
- Yes, if combined with better public transport
- Yes, but difficult to put in place
- No

Do you think using more IT tools such as tele-working or video-conferencing could reduce mobility needs?

- Yes
- Yes, to some extent
- No, as difficult to put in place
- No

Food

Food production, processing and delivery have an impact on greenhouse gas emissions and natural resources consumption.

Would you consider it important that further awareness raising is undertaken about the impact of various types of food consumption on climate?

- Yes
- No

Would you consider the impact of food on greenhouse gas emissions when buying it?

- Yes
- Yes, if information is available about the carbon intensity of food
- Not if more expensive

No

Also taking into account the importance to have a balanced diet for health purposes, would you consider changing to a less carbon intensive food diet (e.g. reduce red meat consumption)?

Yes

No

I would require more information before changing my diet

Consumer goods and services

The products/services you consume and the way they are produced also impact energy consumption and related greenhouse gas emissions.

Do you ever consider the impact on greenhouse gas emissions when buying and consuming a product or services?

Yes I do so regularly

Yes but I often lack the information to do so

No, I don't consider this

Would you consider buying products and services from companies that produce their goods and services in a greenhouse gas neutral manner?

Yes

No, if more expensive

No, other

No opinion / I do not know

Your work and your economic sector

For both individuals and organisations, details on the economic sector should be provided in Section 1.

Employment and a socially fair transition

In the coming decades, the transition to a low carbon economy will impact even more how we work and how we produce goods and services. Which statements below correspond in your opinion to the impact of climate change and the low carbon transition in your working environment?

Do you expect your company to create or reduce jobs due to the low-carbon transition?

Create

Reduce

No opinion / I do not know

What could affect your job most in the future?

The low carbon transition

Digitalisation

Impact of globalisation

- Socio-economic policies (for instance fiscal policy)
- Other

Do you think you or the sector you are active in would benefit from training of staff in the context of the energy and low carbon economy transformation?

- Yes
- Yes, to some extent
- No
- No opinion / I do not know

The impact of the low carbon transition on your sector

Do you consider the low carbon transition as an opportunity or as a challenge for your sector?

- An opportunity
- A challenge
- Both
- None
- No opinion / I do not know

Indicate by how much your sector could reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 2050 compared to today?

- It cannot reduce
- Up to half
- By more than half
- Can decarbonise entirely
- No opinion / I do not know

What would be the preferred route to reduce these emissions in your sector?

- Further electrify
- Use other low carbon fuels, like hydrogen
- Improve to the maximum energy efficiency
- Circular economy, including recycling and re-use
- Development of new products and business concepts
- Other
- No opinion / I do not know

* If other, please specify:

Text of 3 to 200 characters will be accepted

All aforementioned points can be selected for the oil&gas industry. Our industry is also working on: the development of (Carbon Capture Usage &Storage (CCUS); the reduction of methane emissions

Will you (or your sector) invest in new low-carbon technologies?

- Yes, as a priority
- Yes, but not as a priority
- No, it has already invested enough

- No
- No opinion / I do not know

Do you think your sector could be further integrated with others so as to decrease emissions while increasing overall efficiency?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion / I do not know

If your sector can be further integrated to others, please mention how and to which sector(s):

200 character(s) maximum

Please refer to our response in additional comments box

Do you think the low carbon transition will lead the EU economy to:

- Modernise and reinforce its competitiveness
- Modernise, and reinforce its competitiveness, but only if non-EU countries and regions also engage in the transition towards a low carbon economy
- Lose competitiveness
- No opinion / I do not know

Do you think the low carbon transition can help the EU industry modernise and grow?

- Yes
- Yes, but only with public support
- Yes, but only if non-EU countries and regions also engage in the transition towards a low carbon economy
- No
- No opinion / I do not know

How can opportunities and challenges (in particular related to carbon intensive sectors or regions) be addressed? What key economic transformations should the EU pursue to achieve a low carbon and resilient economy?

1000 character(s) maximum

- A coal-to-gas shift should be a tool for regions that are heavily dependent on coal: this would reduce CO2 emissions and improve air quality.
- Developing Carbon Capture Usage and Storage (CCUS) would allow the EU to take global leadership in developing a low carbon economy.
- H2 could be key to a low-carbon and resilient EU economy: it can provide the same flexibility and resilience as natural gas provides today, but without CO2 emissions. It can be produced from almost all sources containing H2 atoms (water, plastics, biomass & natural gas). A recent H2 Council report shows the 2050 global potential of H2 to reduce CO2 emissions by 6 Gt p.a. (20% of global CO2 emissions) and contribute to cover 18% of final energy demand (an increase by 10X over 2015).
- The Strategy should consider the impacts of different ambition levels between regions and countries in the world on competitiveness of the EU industry sectors through providing carbon leakage protection.

Energy

The energy system today is responsible for ca. 75% of the EU's greenhouse gases emissions and undergoes a rapid transition due to e.g. cost reduction of renewables, improvements of energy-efficiency and rapid development of new technologies (e.g. batteries) driven i.a. by policies put forward by the EU and its Member States. Accelerating this change will play a central role in the transition of our economy towards a carbon-neutral economy.

In the following table listing different energy technologies, please rank each option in the table below from 1 (important) to 5 (not important) on what role you think they will play in the clean energy transition (not all options need to be ranked)?

	1	2	3	4	5
Energy efficiency reducing the need to produce energy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Renewable energy from wind, solar or hydro	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other forms of renewable energy, like geothermal, wave or tidal	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Nuclear energy	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Fossil fuels with Carbon Capture and Sequestration	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Solid biomass for heat and electricity production	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Advanced Liquid Biofuels	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Biogas from agricultural and domestic waste	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Electricity storage (e.g. batteries)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Hydrogen (produced in a carbon-neutral manner)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
E-fuels derived from hydrogen	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* If other, please specify:

Text of 3 to 200 characters will be accepted

Hydrogen from natural gas with steam methane reformers

What are the biggest opportunities, including for the wider economy? What are the biggest challenges, including as regards public acceptance or the availability of land and natural resources, related to these future developments?

2000 character(s) maximum

According to the IEA, Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) could provide 14% of the cumulative emission reductions needed in the period to 2060 to limit future temperature increases to 2°C. CCS could provide 32% of the additional reductions needed for the Beyond 2°C Scenario (B2DS). It is important to provide support to all promising innovative technologies, such as CCS and hydrogen.

CCS can provide significant value for the economy in Europe. A study by SINTEF in 2018 demonstrated that a European CCS industry could support up to 40,000 jobs by 2030 and up to 90,000 by 2050, both by retaining existing high-value jobs in process industries and creating new jobs through the development of a CCS industry.

The Connecting Europe Facility and Projects of Common Interests support only cross-border CO₂ transportation elements of Europe's CCS projects. Development of transportation solutions requires the availability of large scale, appraised, permanent CO₂ storage areas. These are located mostly offshore, spanning across the territorial waters of several Member States and third countries. Appraisal of such storage locations should be Europe's strategic climate prerogative and therefore addressed by the Connecting Europe Facility. Hydrogen is a crucial enabler for the decarbonisation of natural gas, industries and existing gas infrastructure. However, neither CEF nor PCI lists envision for the support of hydrogen transport infrastructure. CEF could drive the (i) repurposing of natural gas infrastructure for hydrogen transport, (ii) development of strategic hydrogen storage locations in salt caverns and (iii) first hydrogen clusters. Hydrogen transportation projects should thus be also to future lists of European Projects of Common Interest. The hydrogen component in CEF would drive cost-efficient repurposing of existing infrastructure in the energy transition. We also recommend that CEF considers different forms of low-carbon hydrogen.

The role of Forests and Land Use

Today, EU's forests, agriculture and land absorb more CO₂ than they emit, which is referred to as the EU's sink. Forests and agriculture land produce renewable biomass that can be used to substitute other carbon intensive products or to produce bioenergy, which in turn reduce greenhouse gas emissions from fossil fuels and industrial processes. Depending on how this biomass is produced, this can impact the size of the EU's sink, as well impact other services delivered by agriculture and forest land including biodiversity and ecosystem services.

In the context of a long term strategy please rank each land-use activities in the table below from 1 (important) to 5 (not important) to indicate which are acceptable and can be important to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and increase CO₂ absorptions (not all options need to be ranked):

	1	2	3	4	5
Forest as a source for biomass for renewable energy	<input type="radio"/>				
Forest as a source of material for bio-based products	<input type="radio"/>				
Forest as a carbon sink storing CO ₂	<input type="radio"/>				
Agriculture as a source of feedstock for bio-based materials	<input type="radio"/>				
Agriculture as a source for bio-energy	<input type="radio"/>				
based on food crops	<input type="radio"/>				

based on agricultural wastes	<input type="radio"/>				
based on woody biomass (e.g. perennials, woody and herbaceous crops, short rotation coppice)	<input type="radio"/>				
Protecting and enhancing soil carbon stocks on agricultural land	<input type="radio"/>				

What should be the role of the land-use sector in reducing emissions and increasing absorptions emissions? For what purposes should biomass be used most to reduce greenhouse gas emissions? How and which sustainability concerns should be addressed?

1000 character(s) maximum

Education, research and innovation

Considering the long time frame of the strategy, and the inherent magnitude of the decarbonisation transition, the central role of accelerating research and innovation for facilitating this transition will be crucial.

How best could awareness be raised to create the right attitude and values/ mind-sets?

at most 3 choice(s)

- At school through education
- Local and regional campaigning
- National and EU wide campaigning

On which sectors should R&D efforts focus primarily in the coming decade to best support the low carbon transition?

at most 6 choice(s)

- Energy
- Industrial processes
- Transport
- IT
- Agriculture
- Other field

On which cross-sectoral domains should R&D efforts focus in the coming decades? Is there a particular need for large scale deployment of certain innovative technologies? Is there a different role for authorities and private sector in support R&D and Innovation?

1000 character(s) maximum

- Low-carbon hydrogen (hydrogen from natural gas) with CCS have potential to decarbonise multiple sectors at scale, so should be eligible and a core focus for R&D over the next decade- both in technology and in business models.
- Large scale deployment of CCS in the 2020s is critical to ensuring it is an option for industry, heat and power (CCUS can also be applied to gas-fired power plants, turning them into carbon-free and flexible sources of electricity) in 2030 and beyond. The Commission's SET Plan sets targets for development of pilot & commercial-scale CCUS projects by 2020.
- CCS research in Europe needs to focus on storage appraisal, transport, industrial capture and capture in reforming facilities enabling large scale production of clean hydrogen.
- Under the proposals for Horizon Europe, industrial CCS is included in the "climate, energy and mobility" cluster whereas CCU is included in "Digital and industry". This could be a barrier to effective deployment.

Financing

In many cases, the low carbon economy and energy transition needs high upfront investments with subsequent reductions in operating and fuel costs. In addition, this transition as well as climate change itself will most likely affect the value of existing investments and assets of companies. Finally, to achieve the transition efficiently, the viability and profitability of investments need to be ensured on the long-term. Most of these investments will have to be funded via private finance.

Will the sector that you are active in require significant additional investment in the context of a transition to a low carbon economy?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion / I do not know

For the sector that you are active in, is there a financing gap for making the transition to a low carbon economy?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion / I do not know

Should public sector be more involved in ensuring adequate financing for the low carbon transition?

- Yes, through direct investment
- Yes, through measures ensuring more low cost finance for sustainable investments
- No because of the risk of prompting inefficient investment leading to stranded assets
- No because of crowding effects on other sectors
- No opinion / I do not know

Would you consider that, in your sector, companies are sufficiently transparent about the financial risks they face due to climate change and the low carbon economy and energy transition?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion / I do not know

Meta trends

Do you think the following trends are important to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Economic transition towards a more circular economy?

- Positive
- Negative
- Neutral

Digitalisation, including robotisation and artificial intelligence?

- Positive
- Negative
- Neutral

Shared economy?

- Positive
- Negative
- Neutral

Further interdependency of sectors across borders through globalisation?

- Positive
- Negative
- Neutral

Actors

Local authorities such as cities and local communities, as well as other actors such as civil society and the private sector, can play an important role in achieving the energy transformation, reducing greenhouse gas emissions and adapting to climate change. Indeed thousands of cities, companies and citizens' organisations are implementing the low carbon economy and energy transition through projects covering energy, transport, food and waste management, often achieving important local co-benefits related to economic development, health and wellbeing.

Which of these non-state actors do you think will impact most your or your sector's contribution to delivering the EU's ambition to become a low carbon economy?

- Regional government
- Towns and cities
- Businesses
- Philanthropies
- Civil society (NGOs, ..)
- Religious groups

Do you have an example that you think is of particular importance to underline the role of such local and private sector actors in supporting the low carbon economy and energy transition?

1000 character(s) maximum

Adaptation

The adverse effects of climate change will increase in the coming decades unless strong mitigation policies are implemented globally. In your place of living, which of the following actions do you think will be necessary to prepare for the likely effects of climate change? Please rank each option in the table below from 1 (important) to 5 (not important) to indicate which, in your place of living, you think will be necessary to prepare for the likely effects of climate change (not all options need to be ranked).

	1	2	3	4	5
Scientific research on the local effects of climate change in the place where you live	<input type="radio"/>				
Reinforcement of infrastructure (transport, energy, communication networks) to withstand natural disasters	<input type="radio"/>				
Preparation for floods (water retention, dykes, designated flood plains /areas, restriction of activities in areas at flood risks, floating houses etc.)	<input type="radio"/>				
Adaptation of agriculture to the changing climate (e.g. water efficient irrigation, selecting different crops)	<input type="radio"/>				
Heat wave action plans	<input type="radio"/>				
Increase of green areas in towns to cope with heatwaves / floods	<input type="radio"/>				
Encouragement of water saving and reuse	<input type="radio"/>				
Forest fire prevention (e.g. awareness raising campaigns, forest management...)	<input type="radio"/>				
Reinforcement and protection of the seacoast	<input type="radio"/>				
Early warning systems for natural disasters (heatwaves, floods, forest fires...)	<input type="radio"/>				

Communication to the public about the need to adapt to climate change	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Improved insurance products against damage from the effects of climate change	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Better understanding of the security effects of climate change on the EU (e.g. flows of migrants, global water and food scarcity, agricultural trade)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Which adaptation measures are of particular importance for your sector and why?

1000 character(s) maximum

Specific sectoral questions

These questions are focused on sector specific greenhouse gas reduction options, and as such are primarily directed to sectoral stakeholders.

Reducing industrial greenhouse emissions

Industry has a diverse set of greenhouse gas emissions sources, the majority are linked to energy consumption but also a significant amount of emissions comes from chemical processes, for instance in the steel, cement and chemical sectors.

Industry has a number of mitigation options to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions. These typically involve improved efficiency (e.g. using more efficient products and technologies, reusing waste heat, etc.) and fuel substitution (e.g. electrification of its processes). But it also includes feedstock substitution, be it with bio-material or by employing Carbon Capture and Utilisation (CCU) technologies that see CO₂ emissions being re-used in other production processes. These technologies also often benefit from further integration of energy and industrial sectors.

Please indicate for which sector you see any of the above or other mitigation options of particular importance. Please indicate what your view is in terms of mitigation potential, economic potential and technology readiness. Assess each option as High, Medium, Low or Zero for each criterion and indicate in which year you think the technology would be ready for large scale deployment.

	Industrial Sector	Technology option	Mitigation potential	Economic viability	Technology readiness	Year of large scale deployment
1	Cement	CCS - Oxyfuel/ Pre and post-Combustion	High	Medium, depends on the creation of a CO ₂ transport and storage infrastructure and support in market for low-carbon cement. General CCS cost fro Cement 50-100 €/tCO ₂	Depends on capture technology, generally high: ready to be deployed	2030+
2	Cement	CCS	High	High	Developed and ready	2030+
3	Steel	CCS - Hydrogen Route	High	Medium to High, depends on Hydrogen cost. Requires new production route	Pilot	2030+
4	Steel	CCS	High	Medium, due to impure waste stream increasing capture costs, 50-100€ t		2030+

				/CO2. High economic viability with new production methods	Commercial project Emirates Steel Industry. Pilot Project Stage in Europe (Hisarna CCS Netherlands)	
5	Power (seasonal, system balancing)	Hydrogen produced from reformation of natural gas with CCS	High	High Repurposing of natural gas plants for combustion of hydrogen. CO2 produced in reformers would be captured and permanently stored. This hydrogen could be sold at double price of current natural gas price.	High The H2Magnum project will convert the Nuon natural gas-fired power plant in Eemshaven, the Netherlands, to combust hydrogen, reformed from natural gas with carbon capture and storage (CCS). Conversion of only one unit of the power plant would reduce 1.3Mton of CO2 emissions a year, equivalent to the emissions of 750 000 households. The project aims to demonstrate the economic and environmental viability of hydrogen as a decarbonised energy vector for the hard-to-electrify sectors and we believe it can become major enabler for rapid, deep decarbonisation towards 2050.	2023
				The capex required to implement these		

6	Refineries	Carbon Capture : Capture of a portion of the total CO2 emitted by refineries. The potential role of a CCS scheme together with steam reforming plants (SMR) to produce a low-carbon intensity Hydrogen is explicitly explored.	Around 25%	<p>technologies into the 2030 Reference Scenario (Oil & CCS case) has been preliminary estimated at minimum 45,000 M€ for the whole EU refining system (profitable projects across the whole EU refining system).</p> <p>This estimated cost only refers to the generic cost of the different technologies and opportunities identified. The actual cost of implementation would be determined by the specific conditions of each individual asset.</p>	TRL 6-7	Major deployment in the 2030-2050 timeframe
7						
8						
9						
10						

Reducing greenhouse emissions from transport

Transport has a number of options to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions. While low- or zero-emission technologies are already successfully deployed for parts of the transport sector (e.g. cars and vans), the technological development is in earlier stages of development or deployment for other parts of the transport sector (e.g. long-haul trucks, aviation or maritime).

Please indicate for which part of the transport sector you see particular mitigation options and their importance. Please indicate what your view is in terms of mitigation potential, economic potential and technology readiness. Assess each option as High, Medium, Low or Zero for each criterion and indicate in which year you think the technology would be ready for large scale deployment.

	Transport Sector	Technology option	Mitigation potential	Economic viability	Technology readiness	Year of large scale deployment
1	long-haul trucks	natural gas	high	high	high	2020
2	maritime	natural gas	high	high	high	2020
3	long-haul trucks	hydrogen	high	medium	medium	2025+
4	maritime	hydrogen	high	medium	medium	2025+
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						

In addition, would you please indicate your choice for the following options that allow reducing the energy consumption and related CO₂ emissions?

For freight transport, would you consider switching from road to alternative modes like rail, waterways or coastal shipping?

- Yes
- No, too slow or complicated
- No, too expensive
- No opinion / I do not know

For first/last mile logistics in urban areas, would you consider switching from road to alternative modes like (electric) cargo bike or similar zero-emission vehicle?

- Yes, I am already doing it
- Yes, in the future
- No, too slow
- No
- No opinion / I don't know

Reducing greenhouse emissions from agriculture

Several options exist to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in agriculture even though the mitigation potential of the agricultural sector, notably related to the sector's non-CO₂ emissions, is seen as more limited than for other sectors. Furthermore, agriculture is a sector that through its impact on land use also will affect how our natural sink, and thus the related CO₂ absorptions, will evolve.

Please indicate which mitigation options are of particular importance. Assess each option as High, Medium, Low or Zero for each criterion and indicate in which year you think the technology would be ready for large scale deployment.

	Agriculture sector	Technology option	Mitigation potential	Economic viability	Technology readiness	Year of large scale deployment
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						

Role of CO₂ removal

The objectives of the Paris Agreement are challenging and many scientists consider that it will be necessary at a certain point to remove a significant amount of CO₂ from the atmosphere in order to stay below 2°C and certainly in case the temperature increase should be limited to 1.5°C. There are a limited number of options to remove CO₂ from the atmosphere.

The removal of CO₂ can be accomplished by 1) capturing CO₂ via natural photosynthesis or artificial chemical processes, and then 2) storing CO₂ in long term geological sites or within biomass and (bio) materials.

Rank from 1 (important) to 5 (not important) on what role you think this removal and storage options can have in the EU to deliver negative emissions taking into account issues such as economic and technical feasibility, storage potential, environmental integrity and social acceptance.

Capture of CO₂ from the atmosphere

	1	2	3	4	5
Intensive afforestation	<input type="radio"/>				
Forest and cropland residues	<input type="radio"/>				
Woody perennial plantations	<input type="radio"/>				
Direct Air Capture	<input type="radio"/>				
Other	<input type="radio"/>				

Storage of CO₂

	1	2	3	4	5
Carbon capture and storage (CCS) with enhanced oil or gas recovery	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CCS in onshore geological sites	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
CCS in offshore geological sites	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Carbon Capture and Utilisation (CCU) (long lived products)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased permanent carbon stock in soils	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased permanent carbon stock in plants	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

What main barriers do you see currently preventing the large scale deployment of CCS, including on how to use it to generate negative emissions? What are the particular challenges related to biomass CCS? What type of CCU (Carbon Capture and Utilization) would lend itself to create long term storage? Are there other technologies that should also be considered? What policies do you think the EU should pursue to better help development and deployment?

1000 character(s) maximum

The key challenges: Lack of a business model in Europe for large scale deployment of CCUS that will also drive down cost of CCUS; there may be localised public acceptance issues in a few locations that need to be addressed through raising awareness of the benefits and importance of CCS; Need to develop a sound methodology to estimate effective storage capacity at EU level; Necessity to put in place a clear EU regime for long-term liability (this issue should be better addressed at EU level e.g. through the new review of the CCS Directive).

The Innovation Fund, Horizon Europe & SET Plan should be implemented in a way that fully recognise the role of CCUS to enable the business case and ensure large scale demonstration of CCUS in Europe. R&D should also be continuously supported so that new, innovative solutions can be identified for instance in the area of breakthrough technologies for capture which would allow the current cost including energy use to be significantly decreased.

Additional Comments

If you wish to add further information, comments or suggestions - within the scope of this questionnaire - please feel free to do so here:

1000 character(s) maximum

Response to Q on sector integration: According to 2018 ASSET study definition, sectoral integration combines actions towards low-carbon transition in more than one sector while exploiting synergies between them. CO₂ mgt & low-carbon solutions developed by the oil&gas industry support the transition of other sectors: a) CCUS infrastructure in key industrial clusters e.g. in Antwerp, Le Havre, Rotterdam, Dunkirk, Teeside & value chain built by the oil&gas industry could support a broad decarbonisation of some industries (e.g. steel, cement, aluminum or refining), These sectors are central to EU's competitiveness & to the prosperity of Europeans. b) Large scale projects to produce & transport H₂ from natural gas+CCUS will ensure that a H₂ infrastructure is in place to support the growth of smaller-scale electrolysis producers. H₂ produced from reformation with CCS can be produced rapidly on large scale for combustion for power production & household or industrial heating purposes.

In addition, you could also upload a document proving further information, comments or suggestions:

The maximum file size is 1 MB

Contact

CLIMA-ENER-LONG-TERM-STRATEGY@ec.europa.eu