

FINDING AND PRODUCING OIL AND GAS— SOME BASIC FACTS

# What is CCS?

Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) is a technology that captures carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) from power stations or major industrial plants and then injects it deep underground for long-term storage.

Capture and reinjection of  $CO_2$  into oil reservoirs to increase pressure and recover more hydrocarbons (socalled EOR, or enhanced oil recovery) has been done safely for more than 40 years. In the future, CCS may help satisfy a growing energy demand (much of which will be from fossil fuels), while at the same time avoiding greenhouse gas emissions<sup>1</sup>.

#### What does CCS involve?

CCS consists of three different processes:

**Capture:** Isolating the  $CO_2$  produced by power generation and industrial processes (including, for instance, hydrogen production,  $CO_2$  separation from produced natural gas, and fuel combustion for heat generation) before it is emitted to the atmosphere.

**Transportation:** Moving the captured  $CO_2$  by pipeline or potentially by ship to a secure storage site.

 $CO_2$  injection/storage: Injecting the  $CO_2$  into carefully selected and managed deep geological formations, some of which previously contained hydrocarbons for millions of years. The techniques used to inject  $CO_2$ —similar to those used for oil and gas production and natural gas storage—are already proven from decades of experience in rejuvenating oil production in maturing oil fields and significantly extending their productive lives. The first large scale  $CO_2$  injection project for EOR purposes, SACROC (Scurry Area Canyon Reef Operators Committee) in West Texas, has been operating since 1972. Worldwide, there are over 140 sites where  $CO_2$  is injected underground.<sup>2</sup>



**Figure 1:** Storage of liquefied CO<sub>2</sub> takes place between 700 m and 5 km below ground

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to IEA World Energy Outlook 2013, 'Carbon capture and storage (CCS) has been identified as an essential technology to meet the internationally agreed goal of limiting the temperature increase to 2°C.'International Energy Agency, World Energy Outlook 2013, p.53.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Harnessing Coal's Carbon Content to Advance the Economy, Environment, and Energy Security, Nat'l Coal Council, 6-22,12, http://www.nationalcoalcouncil.org/report/ NCCES\_2012.pdf

#### **Registered Office**

Level 5 209-215 Blackfriars Rd London SE1 8NL United Kingdom T +44 (0)20 3763 9700 F +44 (0)20 3763 9701 reception@iogp.org www.iogp.org

#### **Brussels Office**

Bd du Souverain,165 4th Floor B-1160 Brussels Belgium T +32 (0)2 566 9150 F +32 (0)2 566 9159

#### What are the benefits of CCS?

CCS can help reduce emissions while maintaining energy supply security.

CCS can permanently store  $CO_2$  emissions resulting from production and use of fossil fuels in key sectors for our economy such as power generation, petroleum refining, and steel and cement production.

CCS can effectively make hydrocarbon use a very lowcarbon option. Given the challenge of a growing population globally, all fuel sources and technologies will be needed to keep energy supplies secure and costs to a minimum. In the longer term, CCS could be one of the most effective technologies for achieving substantial reductions in emissions of  $CO_2$ .

#### Is there enough space to store $CO_2$ ?

Geoscientists believe that there may be enough capacity for  $CO_2$  storage in deep geological formations to accommodate several decades of  $CO_2$  emissions at current production rates.

The EU GeoCapacity project estimated that the overall permanent availability of geological storage capacity in Europe is over 300 Giga tonnes (Gt) of  $CO_2$ . More conservative estimates are over 100 Gt  $CO_2$ .<sup>3</sup> Additional storage capacity in the North Sea has been estimated at over 200 Gt  $CO_2$ .<sup>4</sup> Nevertheless, subsurface storage space is inevitably finite and should be used in a well-thought-out manner.<sup>5</sup>

### Are there any examples of storing $CO_2$ in Europe?

In 1996, oil and gas companies initiated the world's first large-scale  $CO_2$  storage-only project in the Sleipner gas field in the North Sea (Norway). Since then, the project prevented more than 10 million tonnes of  $CO_2$  from getting into the atmosphere.

The second important CCS development in Europe is the Snøhvit gas field in the Barents Sea (Norway), the world's first to feature a gas liquefaction plant. In operation since 2008, the plant has a capture and storage capacity of 700,000 tonnes of  $CO_2$  per year.

## Are IOGP members involved in CCS projects?

Many IOGP members have been contributing geological, engineering, commercial and legal expertise for developing the three main components of CCS (capture, transport and CO<sub>2</sub> injection/storage). This has been based on extensive experience in the UK and Norwegian Continental Shelves and elsewhere.

For years, oil and gas companies have been developing and applying technologies to deal with the carbon dioxide resulting from the production of natural gas. This is because producers must ensure that  $CO_2$  concentrations meet pipeline and consumer consumption requirements. Many of the technologies used for this are applicable to the separation of  $CO_2$  from flue gases emitted in power or heat generation.

The upstream industry's experience in using  $CO_2$  for EOR provides important knowledge for the development of CCS. EOR begins with  $CO_2$  that is produced from natural sources or captured at a nearby power plant or other industrial facility. The  $CO_2$  is compressed and injected into an oil reservoir, making it easier to move the oil to nearby production wells.

The industry has a unique understanding of what happens under the surface of the earth— gained from more than 100 years of oil and gas exploration and production. This experience has enabled oil and gas companies to characterise and monitor geological formations kilometres below the ground.

The oil and gas industry also has the experience of safely transporting liquids and gases over long distances, via land and sea.

IOGP members are engaged in a number of CCS projects in the USA, Canada, Africa, Australia and Europe. Examples are outlined in the annex.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> http://www.geology.cz/geocapacity: http://www.cgseurope.net/UserFiles/file/1st%20 Kickoff%20meeting/Presentations/17-Vangklide-Pedersen.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Commission Communication on the Future of Carbon Capture and Storage in Europe, p.18

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  Per megawatt hour, gas-fired plants produce over 50% less  $\rm CO_2$  than coal plants, IEA Energy Technology Perspectives 2014.

### **IOGP members' involvement in CO**<sub>2</sub> injection projects (EOR and/or storage) based on publicly available information

| PROJECT<br>NAME    | LOCATION<br>(city/ town+country)                            | PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT  | <b>PROJECT TYPE</b><br>(pre/post combustion capture, Oxyfiring or gas clean-<br>up/separation)  | <b>SOURCE/STORAGE OF CO</b> <sub>2</sub><br>(e.g. Power station/offshore aquifer)   | <b>SIZE</b><br>(tonnes CO <sub>2</sub> /yr)                  | DURATION<br>(START/FINISH)  |
|--------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Shute Creek        | Southwestern Wyoming,<br>USA                                | ExxonMobil (100%)  | Precombustion capture – Natural gas processing:<br>Capture and transport for EOR  | Enhanced Oil Recovery   | 7 million  | 1986 onwards  |
| Weyburn-<br>Midale | Canada  | Cenovus Energy (Weyburn Field)<br>and Apache Canada (Midale Field)           | Pre-combustion capture from a synfuel gasification plant and transport for EOR  | Enhanced Oil Recovery   | 3 million  | 2000 onwards  |
| Gorgon<br>Project  | Barrow Island,<br>Western Australia,<br>Australia           | Chevron (47.3%) ExxonMobil (25%)<br>Shell (25%) & others                     | Pre-combustion capture – LNG development<br>and natural gas processing; capture, transport<br>and storage   | Separation of CO <sub>2</sub> from reservoir gas<br>from various offshore fields, then<br>injected into a deep saline formation<br>under Barrow Island. | 3–4 million  | Expects project life<br>of approximately<br>40 years                                      |
| Lost Cabin         | Wyoming, USA  | ConocoPhillips   | Pre-combustion capture – Natural gas<br>processing: Capture and transport for EOR   | Enhanced Oil Recovery   | 1 million  | 2013  |
| In Salah           | Krechba, Algeria  | Joint Venture operation: BP,<br>Sonatrach, Statoil                           | Pre-combustion capture - Natural gas<br>processing: Capture, transport and storage  | Onshore Deep Saline Formations  | 1 million  | 2004– November<br>2012  |
| Sleipner           | 250 kilometres west of<br>Stavanger, Norway                 | Statoil (operator) ExxonMobil Total  | Pre-combustion capture – Natural gas<br>processing: Capture, transport and storage  | Sleipner gas field/Offshore deep saline<br>formations   | 1 million  | 1996 – onwards  |
| Quest              | Edmonton, Canada  | Shell (operator) 60% Marathon<br>20% Chevron 20%                             | Pre-combustion capture – Capture & storage<br>in aquifer Pre-combustion   | Scotford Upgrader—capture from<br>3 hydrogen manufacturing units  | 1 million  | 2015 (10/25 yr)   |
| Peterhead          | Peterhead,<br>Aberdeenshire,<br>Scotland, United<br>Kingdom | Shell (operator), Scottish and<br>Southern Energy (SSE)                      | Post-combustion capture – Capture, transport<br>& storage in depleted gas field (Goldeneye)   | Gas fired power station   | 1 million  | 2018-2020 for 10 yrs  |
| Lula Oil<br>Field  | 300 km off the coast of<br>Rio de Janeiro, Brazil           | Petrobas 65% (operator) BG 25%<br>& other                                    | Pre-combustion capture – Natural gas<br>processing: floating production, storage, and<br>offloading (FPSO) facility with CO <sub>2</sub> separation | Enhanced oil recovery at the Lula Oil<br>Field  | 0.7 million  | 2013  |
| Snøhvit            | Melkøya, Norway   | Statoil; Petoro; Total; GDF Suez;<br>Norsk Hydro; Hess Norge                 | Pre-combustion capture LNG production<br>and natural gas processing; Capture, transport<br>& storage  | Snøhvit offshore gas field/Offshore deep saline formations  | 0.7 million  | 2008– onwards   |
| ТСМ                | Mongstad, Norway  | Gassnova (on behalf of the<br>Norwegian gvt) 75, 12% Statoil<br>20% & others | Post-combustion capture Test centre: Capture<br>only, using Aker amine technology and Alstom<br>chilled ammonia technology                          | Capture from flue gases from gas-fired<br>CHP and from refinery catalytic cracker   | 100,000<br>tonnes  | 2012 (5+years)  |
| Lacq               | Capture: Lacq, France<br>Storage: Rousse,<br>France         | Total 100%   | Oxy fuel combustion – Capture, Transport<br>and Storage   | Onshore sequestration in depleted<br>natural gas field at Rousse (Pyrenees),<br>30 km from Lacq, at 4.5 km<br>underground                               | CO <sub>2</sub> captured<br>and stored<br>> 50,000<br>tonnes | 2009–capture and<br>storage phase ended<br>on 15/03/2013+ 3<br>years observation<br>phase |